


Q1 What factor was most important to you in deciding to attend today's program?

Answered: 23 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Convenience	13.04% 3
Price	0.00% 0
Relevance to your practice area	69.57% 16
Speaker(s)	4.35% 1
Program content	34.78% 8
Total Respondents: 23	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
	There are no responses.	

Q2 How did you learn about this program?

Answered: 17 Skipped: 6




Answer Choices	Responses
Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert)	29.41% 5
CLE Website	5.88% 1
Bar Bulletin CLE ad	17.65% 3
State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter)	0.00% 0
Bar Bulletin Calendar	11.76% 2
E-mail from State Bar	35.29% 6
Facebook	0.00% 0
Twitter	0.00% 0
Total Respondents: 17	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Planning committee	11/3/2015 3:42 PM
2	Invitation	10/29/2015 4:29 PM
3	Mary Ann Burmester	10/29/2015 9:50 AM
4	Letter from Fam Law Section (or e-mail from State Bar, I don't remember which) offering attendance to judicial officers	10/29/2015 9:12 AM
5	I was on the committee	10/28/2015 4:25 PM

6	Winvitation to attend.	10/28/2015 3:57 PM
---	------------------------	--------------------

Q3 How much notice do you need to plan to attend a CLE?

Answered: 23 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
2 Months	47.83% 11
1 Month	39.13% 9
2 Weeks	13.04% 3
1 Week	0.00% 0
Total	23

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	I was able to schedule today's CLE with webcaset attendance	10/23/2015 4:51 PM

Q4 I prefer the following types of programs:

Answered: 23 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Live Programs	95.65% 22
Live Webcasts	17.39% 4
Video Replays at State Bar	13.04% 3
Teleseminars	0.00% 0
Self Study	4.35% 1
Total Respondents: 23	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
	There are no responses.	

Q5 If you attended the program by webcast, how was the quality?

Answered: 11 Skipped: 12




	Poor	Fine	Excellent	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	0.00% 0	36.36% 4	0.00% 0	63.64% 7	11	3.27

#	Comments	Date
1	Some parts were excellent. Other parts were bad.	10/29/2015 10:40 AM
2	I had problems with the buffering and I tried to close the other programs that were using band width but I was unable to completely resolve the issue. Also, I was concerned that there were no checkpoints on Saturday to confirm I was watching. I don't know if there was some technical problem that would have caused me to miss them (pop-up blocking?)ut off the o	10/24/2015 4:49 PM
3	As to portions that came through, the quality was excellent. There were some buffering issues early on that may or may not be due to the source of the webcast. Peachtree's support on this matter was very good. A different issue involved getting information about username and password in time to access the both program and the materials before the program began, which resulted in me not getting the full benefit of the first session.	10/23/2015 4:51 PM

Q6 Faculty Evaluation

Answered: 23 Skipped: 0




	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Danielle R. Panter	22.73% 5	50.00% 11	9.09% 2	9.09% 2	4.55% 1	4.55% 1	22	3.81
Karen Janway	18.18% 4	45.45% 10	13.64% 3	4.55% 1	9.09% 2	9.09% 2	22	3.65
Elyse Eckart	19.05% 4	47.62% 10	14.29% 3	0.00% 0	4.76% 1	14.29% 3	21	3.89

Family Law Institute - Removing Roadblocks, Dividing Retirement Benefits During Divorce

Leah Barker	36.36% 8	40.91% 9	9.09% 2	0.00% 0	4.55% 1	9.09% 2	22	4.15
Robert J. Shulman	36.36% 8	45.45% 10	9.09% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	9.09% 2	22	4.30
Claudia Armijo	33.33% 7	42.86% 9	14.29% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	9.52% 2	21	4.21
Jerome Johnson	36.36% 8	45.45% 10	13.64% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4.55% 1	22	4.24
Hon. Gerard Lavelle	33.33% 7	42.86% 9	19.05% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4.76% 1	21	4.15
Hon. James J. Wechsler	23.81% 5	47.62% 10	19.05% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	9.52% 2	21	4.05
Brian Shoemaker	33.33% 7	33.33% 7	23.81% 5	0.00% 0	4.76% 1	4.76% 1	21	3.95
Raymond S. Dietrich	9.52% 2	23.81% 5	14.29% 3	14.29% 3	28.57% 6	9.52% 2	21	2.68
Brad Yablonsky	80.95% 17	9.52% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	9.52% 2	21	4.89
Daymon B. Ely	42.11% 8	42.11% 8	5.26% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	10.53% 2	19	4.41
Briggs F. Cheney	38.89% 7	50.00% 9	5.56% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5.56% 1	18	4.35

Q7 My area of Practice is:

Answered: 20 Skipped: 3




Answer Choices	Responses
Solo or Small Firm	95.00% 19
Corporate	0.00% 0
Government	5.00% 1
Paralegal	0.00% 0
Legal Service Provider	0.00% 0
Total Respondents: 20	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	hearing officer, domestic relations	10/29/2015 9:12 AM
2	Judge	10/28/2015 3:57 PM

Q8 How many years have you been in your area of practice?


Answered: 23 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
0-5 Years	17.39% 4
6-10 Years	13.04% 3
11-15 Years	8.70% 2
Over 15 Years	60.87% 14
Total	23

Q9 Which of the following best describes your age?

Answered: 23 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
25-34	8.70% 2
35-44	17.39% 4
45-54	13.04% 3
55 +	60.87% 14
Total	23

Q10 Comments:

Answered: 12 Skipped: 11

#	Responses	Date
1	The variety of speakers and subject areas covered was very informative and well-done under a uniform umbrella topic. Well done!	11/13/2015 1:45 PM
2	Mr. Brad Yablosky (a non-attorney) had the most informative and educational presentation of all! The depth and multivariate analysis of his presentation very much exceeded my expectations. I highly recommend that he be scheduled to present at future Seminars. His presentation was very educational. Very glad I attended!	11/3/2015 2:21 PM
3	I would also suggest that the Family Law Institute include luncheon programs involving DR cases and legislation that we need to be aware of. Also, what services will the Court Clinic undertake?	10/30/2015 10:51 AM
4	Excellent, useful, interesting and thought provoking as usual. I try not to miss the Family Law Institute CLE, I have always been exceptionally pleased!	10/29/2015 4:29 PM
5	One of the best CLE's I have attended.	10/29/2015 12:18 PM
6	Mr. Dietrich may know what he is doing but his presentation was very bad. Almost all of the attorneys at the program were very experienced attorneys. I felt that the portion of the program where we spent a lot of time going back over what already had been discussed wasted a lot of time that could have been used better - for example talking about other plans.	10/29/2015 10:40 AM
7	Overall, a pretty good seminar. I am glad I attended. The lunches were great. The Fri - Sat format works well for those of us from out of town, as we can plan a long weekend in Albq.	10/28/2015 4:47 PM
8	i attended Saturday's program. Janet Clow and Sarah Bennett did a phenomenal job in preparation and presentation. The section on Social Security was the most useful and had many of us on the edge of our seats due to its relevance to us personally.	10/28/2015 3:57 PM
9	I thought the panel portion was a huge waste of time. The contact information should have been compiled and passed out on a document instead of going from speaker to speaker. The portion on FERS/TSP was very unhelpful. I learned very little, and the speaker was more interested in talking about malpractice than FERS/TSP. The poll doesn't list Janet Clow and Sarah Bennett as faculty but they were both great. The rest of the speakers along with the subject matter were also terrific. I'd give the CLE an A-	10/28/2015 3:40 PM
10	Janet Clow and Sarah Bennett also did an excellent job. I would like to be able to receive the materials including materials that are made available on the day of the program.	10/26/2015 12:08 PM
11	Really more of a question. At the beginning of Friday's presentation, there were some materials that were not available. They were later available to be picked up at the front desk at the conclusion of the day's presentation. Will those materials be made available to the webcast attendees?	10/24/2015 4:49 PM
12	Speakers and topics were all excellent. The subject matter is complex but has already come up in several cases, so I needed this seminar. I think the speakers did a good job of addressing it. My only real complaint is that the webcast experience would have gone so much more smoothly for me if someone had just given me a clear and concise statement of my username and password in advance so I could get into the program and materials without all the stress. By the way, the "random checkpoints" are quite offputting and unnecessary. I have a small screen laptop to view this webcast, not a giant TV screen. While I am listening to the speakers, I am taking notes or looking at the materials much of the time. I do expect to receive full credit for spending my day with this webcast and not be penalized because I only one random checkpoint appeared outside of my "work" area. Thank you.	10/23/2015 4:51 PM